

RETHINKING OPENNESS FOR LEARNING IN OPEN DISTANCE LEARNING INSTITUTIONS

Morakinyo Akintolu

*Department of Educational Foundations¹✉
akintolumorakinyo@gmail.com*

Moeketsi Letseka¹

*¹UNESCO Chair on Open Distance Learning
College of Education
University of South Africa
Preller sr.t, Muckleneuk, Pretoria, South Africa, 0002*

✉Corresponding author

Abstract

The trends in open distance learning over the years and its evolution to higher education are interesting phenomena that continue to gain acceptance. While open distance learning serves as an intervening solution, initiated by most African higher institutions for students that missed out on the opportunity of studying in mainstream institutions, there is a need for her tertiary institutions to confront the challenge of making the Institutions open to meet the needs of upwardly enrolling higher education of adult students. However, this study argues that not only is this practice pedagogically unsound, but also clearly contradictory to the policy for open distance learning, for which the institutions were established in the specific context of the University of South Africa. It further highlights the rethink of the pedagogical approach by all stakeholders focusing more closely on the quality of openness in teaching and learning. It seeks guidelines for designing teaching and learning, particularly for Open Distance Learning (ODL) institutions, which only have a policy for distance and online learning and in contrast to the institutions' agenda by creating opportunities through open distance e-learning nationally and on the African continent. The Transactional Distance Theory was adopted to underpin the study. Also, it highlights the openness in ODL and recommends different innovative ways to take the agenda of Open Distance Learning forward for optimal impact.

Keywords: Openness, Open learning, Open distance learning, Open distance e-learning, Open Distance Institutions.

DOI: 10.21303/2504-5571.2024.003375

1. Introduction

Open distance learning is an intervention, initiated by most African higher institutions for students that missed out on the opportunity of studying in mainstream institutions, however, most of these ODL institutions are confronted with the challenge of making the Institutions open to meet the needs of upwardly enrolling adult students. According to [1], open distance learning is a form of activities that either enhance learning possibilities within the formal education systems or increase learning opportunities outside of the official traditional education systems.

Over the years there have been an academic debate between the terminologies open learning and distance learning where the two phrases are used interchangeably in the higher education space to connote a learning system that is open and at a distance. Historically, open learning is a pioneering educational movement that began in the 1970s and has now extended into other sectors of research and practice. For the purpose of the paper the two words are used as one. According to [2], open learning refers to the freedom to choose what, how, where and when individuals study. Further stressed that open learning can be delivered online, in person, or in a hybrid style. Therefore, the term distance learning refers to a teaching and learning process that occurs between the teacher and the student and is not limited by space, time, or tempo [3]. On the other hand, [4] states that the contemporary version of distance education is online learning.

However, open and distance universities are well-positioned to increase participation in higher education because they are governed by the ideas of openness (an approach to education) and distance (its mode of delivery), which serve as their defining characteristics. These two concepts allow ODL institutions to offer education that can be accessible anywhere and at any time [5, 6].

Hence, the paper is targeted towards researchers, practitioners, academics, policymakers, and all stakeholders managing Open Distance Learning universities to meet the need of distance learning students as adult students. The importance of this paper is for all stakeholders to rethink the pedagogical approach of openness, adopted by our ODL institutions, which is contrary to the policy that established its existence. It is timely at this point when modes of teaching and learning are practically migrated online. The thrust of this paper is to rethink the concept of openness in the mission and practice of every ODL institution, especially in the Sub-Sahara Africa.

Definition of the concept openness

According to the oxford learner dictionaries, see openness to something as the quality of being able to think about, accept or listen to different ideas or people or the quality of not being limited or covered. It is established that there has been an echo of voices from various scholars about the concept of openness in education that continue to evolve [2, 7–10,]. As described by [11], openness is not a static nor a rigid idea but rather a flexible, constantly changing ideal, shaped by changing societal, cultural, geographical, and economic environments, it lacks a precise definition.

Openness is a multifaceted concept that draws on a complex history of social, political, economic and technological change [12, 13]. The facets highlighted include:

- Openness in a technological sense.
- Openness as a social contract.
- Openness as participatory democracy.
- Openness as an alternative to the neo-liberal market.
- Openness as freedom of speech.
- Openness to new ideas and experiences.
- Openness removing the limits of geography and time.

Supporting this view and highlighting its multifaceted nature, [14] contends that the task of pinning ascribing a specific meaning to openness is a challenging one, given the fact that it is fluid in nature, loaded with characteristics of “freedom, justice, respect, transparency, agency, self-direction, sharing, collaboration, personalization, accessibility and ubiquitous ownership”.

Openness as liberation, as empowerment, as opportunity, as generosity, reciprocal or not, not just as an economic advantage or a joyful experience. It’s a sensibility and worldview that we would suffer without [15]. Openness is not just a practice or a praxis. Openness is a worldview and a way of being in the world. And it can promote social justice if done intentionally with Equitable Hospitality [16].

Defining the “open” in open distance learning environment

Openness is one of the characteristics of the Open Distance eLearning (ODEL) Institution among others, such as flexibility, affordability, supportive and accessibility. [17] defines openness as ‘removing barriers to access learning, the flexibility of learning provision, student-centeredness, supporting students and constructing learning programmes. Openness serves as one of the two concepts that allows ODL institutions to provide accessible education obtaining anywhere and anytime [5]. As noted by the Department of Higher Education and Training 2017, the concept of openness has a guiding principle of ODL separating ODL institutions from any other traditional education institution. The thrust of this paper is to rethink the concept of openness for effective teaching and learning in open distance learning institutions in Sub-Sahara Africa.

1. 2. Literature review

The concept of openness for effective teaching and learning

The concept of openness for effective teaching and learning refers to the idea of promoting an inclusive and collaborative learning environment where individuals feel comfortable sharing their ideas and perspectives without fear of judgment or exclusion. This concept can be applied in various educational settings, including traditional classroom settings, online learning environments, and informal learning communities, which [18, 19] refers to as inclusive learning. Openness in teaching and learning can be facilitated by a range of factors, including supportive and welcoming attitudes of instructors and peers, the use of participatory teaching methods, the use of technol-

ogy to facilitate communication and collaboration, and the creation of opportunities for students to share their thoughts and perspectives.

Similarly, [20, 21] sees openness as a kind of pedagogies that can enhance learning outcomes by fostering critical thinking, creativity, and collaboration. When learners feel free to express their ideas and engage in open dialogue, they are more likely to develop a deeper understanding of the subject matter and to be able to apply that knowledge to real-world situations. The concept of openness is critical for effective teaching and learning. By promoting an inclusive and collaborative learning environment, educators can create opportunities for learners to engage in critical thinking, creativity, and collaboration, which can enhance learning outcomes and prepare learners for success in the 21st century (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development) [22]. [23] indicates that Open Educational Resources is one of the three principal influences of openness among open access and open teaching. [24] alluded that Open educational resources (OER), which are free and freely licensed educational materials that can be used for teaching, learning, research, and other purposes, are a significant component of openness in teaching and learning. Textbooks, movies, lectures, tests, and other educational resources can all be considered OER, which are more prominent in the Open Distance Education. Top of Form.

Distance Education (DE) began with correspondence courses in the 1850s to address the problem of students who were too poor to afford campus-based institutions. It also addressed those who lacked the formal qualifications to gain entry into campus-based institutions; those who lived far away from such institutions; and those who were employed and wanted to further their studies [25, 26]. Open Distance Learning (ODL) provides individuals and groups of students with access to education and training because it frees them from time and location constraints and allows them to learn at their own pace [27]. According to [28], which affirms that distance education is recognized as having the potential to meet the rising demand of higher education globally, however, the concept of openness is not well addressed. In South Africa in particular, (the policy of UNISA as an open distance learning) the mission and vision of UNISA, which serves as the second largest ODL institution, still do not cater for the openness as stated in the mission. Overall, an open approach to teaching and learning can help to democratize education and make it more accessible to learners from diverse backgrounds and contexts as in the concept of open distance learning institutions. It can also help to promote innovation and collaboration and foster a more flexible and accountable educational system as noted in the characteristics of Open Distance Learning [29].

Promoting an inclusive and collaborative learning environment where people feel comfortable sharing their ideas and viewpoints without worrying about criticism or exclusion is the notion behind the concept of openness for effective teaching and learning. This idea can be used in formal learning communities, online learning environments, and traditional classroom settings, among other different educational contexts. [30] writes that openness of distance learning is a system of higher education delivery where there are no entry prerequisites and no entry barriers, where a person's background and any prior advantage or disadvantage is completely immaterial. They contend that "open education potentially opens up not only who generates the "content" is learnt, but also who verifies that learning, so that it has the currency in the labour and/or interest markets." In the same vein, [21] in their study on student centered approach stated that openness in education can enhance learning outcomes by fostering critical thinking, creativity, and collaboration. When learners feel free to express their ideas and engage in open dialogue, they are more likely to develop a deeper understanding of the subject matter and to be able to apply that knowledge to real-world situations.

Openness in teaching and learning can be facilitated by a range of factors, including supportive and welcoming attitudes of instructors and peers, the use of participatory teaching methods, the use of technology to facilitate communication and collaboration, and the creation of opportunities for students to share their thoughts and perspectives. In a collective voice by [31], indicated that Openness in education is a multifaceted and multilayered concept that is deeply rooted in a wide range of values, including sharing, access, flexibility, affordability, enlightenment, breaking down barriers, empowerment, caring, agency, trust, innovation, sustainability, collaboration, and co-creation. It is also deeply rooted in social justice, equity, transparency, inclusivity, decolonization, democratization, participation, liberty, and respect for diversity.

There are several benefits to open approach to teaching and learning. It allows for optimum collaboration and innovation, according to [31], openness in education can be described as a regulative ideal, a navigation tool, and a space for collaboration, as a learners and educators can work together to develop new ideas and approaches. Openness in teaching and learning can also involve open access to research and scholarly publications, which can help to advance knowledge and facilitate collaboration across disciplines and institutions. [31] argues that openness nurtures individual connections, international partnership, cross-cultural learning experiences, knowledge exchange, leading to a more understanding and interconnected society. By making research findings freely available, scholars and researchers can more easily build on each other's work and contribute to the development of new knowledge and ideas.

One important aspect of openness in teaching and learning by [24, 32] is open educational resources (OER), which are free and openly licensed educational materials that can be used for teaching, learning, research, and other purposes. OER can include textbooks, videos, lectures, quizzes, and other learning materials. The concept of openness in teaching and learning refers to the extent, to which information, resources, and ideas are freely shared and accessible to everyone. An open approach to teaching and learning recognizes that knowledge and resources can be more effective when they are shared openly, rather than being kept behind closed doors.

Open Distance Learning (ODL) institution in Sub-Sahara Africa

There are several Open Distance Learning (ODL) institutions in Sub-Saharan Africa that provide accessible education opportunities to students who may not have access to traditional face-to-face learning. Here are a few notable ODL institutions in the sub-Sahara Africa region:

1. University of South Africa (UNISA): UNISA is one of the largest ODL institutions in the world and is based in South Africa. It offers a wide range of undergraduate and postgraduate programs across various disciplines, including business, humanities, sciences, and education. UNISA (amalgamating the former UNISA, Technikon Southern Africa, and the Vista University for Distance Education Campus) was established in 2004 as South Africa's single, devoted, total distance education school [33, 34]. The University of South Africa has its headquarters in Tshwane (formerly Pretoria) and maintains regional learning centres throughout South Africa and a few other countries. The main goal of these centres is to help students by facilitating and providing support services [35]. UNISA is providing higher education opportunities to an estimated 400 000 mature working students in South Africa and the rest of Africa [36].

2. Open University of Tanzania (OUT): OUT is a distance learning institution, located in Tanzania. It offers programs at the undergraduate and postgraduate levels in fields, such as education, business administration, public administration, and social sciences. The ODL institution was set up in the year 1992 to provide university education to adults who are working and school leavers who could not find a place in the contact-based universities due to lack of capacity, distance and opportunity [37].

3. National Open University of Nigeria (NOUN): NOUN is Nigeria's first ODL institution and offers a variety of degree programs at the undergraduate and postgraduate levels. It provides flexible learning options through study centers, located across the country. NOUN was also established to provide tertiary education to a massive number of Nigerians who were in desperate need of university education [38]. The establishment of NOUN goes through negotiations for 18 years because of the pressure from the Nigeria government.

4. Zimbabwe Open University (ZOU): ZOU is Zimbabwe's dedicated ODL institution. It provides a range of programs at the certificate, diploma, undergraduate, and postgraduate levels, covering fields, such as agriculture, commerce, education, and social sciences. In order to provide training for working people, the Zimbabwe Open University was founded in 1979 as a Center for Distance Education of the University of Zimbabwe. In 1999, it underwent a full transformation into a fully-fledged open university [39].

5. Open University of Mauritius (OUM): Although not in Sub-Saharan Africa geographically, the Open University of Mauritius is an ODL institution that serves students across the region. It offers programs in various disciplines, including business, computer science, education, and social

sciences [40]. The Mauritius College of the Air (MCA), which was founded in 1971 to provide instruction through mass media, served as the precursor to the Open University of Mauritius (OUM) [41].

6. Botswana Open University (BOU): BOU that was established in 2017 has the mandate to provide quality education, research, and community engagement for both undergraduate and post graduate students through open and distance learning solutions. The newest addition to open institutions on the continent, the Botswana Open University (BOU), was founded in 2017. Its origins can be traced back to the Botswana College of Distance and Open Learning (BOCODOL), which was established to offer lower-level education to children unable to pursue full-time studies [42]. Additionally, it offered pre-university courses for persons who wanted to raise their job-related qualifications.

7. University of Nairobi Open and Distance Learning Centre (UoN ODeL): UoN ODeL is part of the University of Nairobi in Kenya and offers ODL programs across different disciplines, including education, business, arts, and social sciences.

The aim of all these ODL institutions mentioned above is to make education more accessible, flexible, and inclusive by providing distance learning opportunities. They utilize a combination of online resources, study materials, tutor support, and periodic face-to-face sessions to facilitate learning for their students.

Openness in Open Distance Learning Institutions

Openness in Open Distance Learning (ODL) institutions refers to the principles and practices that emphasize inclusivity, flexibility, and accessibility in education. In the context of Sub-Saharan Africa, ODL institutions strive to promote openness through various means. Here are some aspects of openness in ODL institutions in the region:

1. Accessible admission policies: Sub-Saharan African ODL universities frequently have inclusive admissions practices that let a variety of students to attend. To give possibilities for people who might not have met the requirements of conventional schools, they may take into account aspects other than typical academic qualifications, such as work experience, prior learning, and alternative entry pathways. According to [43], ODL is the ideal method for providing access to students seeking to enrol in higher education institutions while meeting the “cardinal importance of quality.”

2. Flexibility in learning: ODL institutions aim to provide flexible learning options to accommodate diverse student needs. This flexibility allows students to study at their own pace, balance their studies with other commitments (such as work or family responsibilities), and access learning materials and resources at convenient times. It often involves asynchronous learning, where students can engage with course materials and complete assignments within flexible time frames.

3. Blended learning approaches: Many ODL institutions in Sub-Saharan Africa adopt blended learning approaches that combine online and face-to-face interactions. While the majority of learning takes place through online platforms, periodic face-to-face sessions, tutorials, or study centers provide opportunities for students to engage with peers, receive support from tutors, and participate in practical activities.

4. Open educational resources (OER): ODL institutions actively promote the use of open educational resources, which are freely available educational materials that can be accessed, used, modified, and shared by students and educators. OER enhances affordability and access to learning resources, reducing barriers to education [24].

5. Collaborative learning opportunities: ODL institutions encourage collaborative learning through online platforms and discussion forums. Students can engage in peer-to-peer interactions, share ideas, and collaborate on group projects. This fosters a sense of community and active learning, despite geographical distances.

6. Recognition of prior learning: ODL institutions in Sub-Saharan Africa often recognize and value prior learning experiences and achievements. They may provide mechanisms for students to receive credit or exemptions for prior qualifications, work experience, or informal learning, allowing individuals to build upon their existing knowledge and skills.

7. Support services: ODL institutions prioritize student support services to ensure that students receive the assistance they need throughout their learning journey [44]. This includes access

to academic advisors, tutors, counseling services, and technical support, which are often provided through online platforms, email, or helplines. The use of current information and communication technology has been discovered to be an essential instrument in enabling student support services in an ODL environment [45].

8. Feedback: This is a major criterion in getting along in an ODeL environment. The feedback makes the study open to create an enabling system for students.

By embracing openness, ODL institutions in Sub-Saharan Africa strive to provide equitable access to quality education, promote lifelong learning, and address the diverse needs of their student populations. These principles of openness help bridge educational gaps and empower individuals who may face barriers to traditional forms of learning.

The transactional distance theory as the theoretical framework

This study is underpinned with the transactional distance theory, propounded by [46]. The theory, which sees distance education as not merely a geographical separation of learners and teachers, but more importantly, as a pedagogical idea, as noted by [47]. There is some transactional distance even with face-to-face instruction.

[48] highlighted that transactional distance theory contrasts with the numerous theories, created in the classroom, it is the first pedagogical theory that was particularly formed from analysis of teaching and learning, conducted using technology. Some believe it to be one of the few theories in distance learning – if not the only one – that can be used to test theories. It can be used to frame studies of tutoring or other learner support activities to determine whether student learning outcomes have changed, which is frequently determined by student completion.

Moore does note, however, that when we refer to open distance education, we often refer to a learning environment, in which the instructor's and students' physical separation is great enough to call for specialized teaching-learning techniques. Even though contact teaching and learning clearly share certain parallels, there are many differences in these strategies, practices, and teacher and student behavior. The collection of Open Distance Education programs also offers a wide range of various transactional distances. It cannot be stressed that transactional distance is a relative rather than an absolute dimension.

2. Materials and methods

Research method

The chapter explored the connection between the concept of openness and its pedagogical practice among the open and distance learning institutions in the Sub-Sahara Africa.

Research design or model

A case study and reviews were the research design adopted. A case study in educational research can be a system, an institution, a program, a classroom, a group, a person, or an event that can be examined in order to highlight potential solutions to the subject's unresolved issues. In the context of this chapter, the Sub-Sahara African open and distance learning institutions are the case under study. According to [49] and [50], case study is a type of research, in which only one social unit – a state in a nation, a community, a tribe, an institution, a single person or group of people-is examined in order to identify what is wrong or improperly situated, correct the errors, and introduce concepts that would add value to such systems or situations.

Data collection tools

The study adopted the qualitative approach using secondary data as a method of data collection.

Sampling or research group

The open and distance learning institutions in Sub-Sahara Africa were purposefully selected based on the fact that openness is one of its characteristics as an ODL institution, as the study attempted to ensure that the institutions had similar features. These characteristics include affordability, accessibility, flexibility, supportiveness, and openness. As also identified by [6], categoriz-

ing uses the following terms: quality, lifelong learning, flexibility, cost effectiveness/affordability and development as the top five principles of the open distance institutions in sub-Saharan African mission statement. The literature that was reviewed is centered around the concept of open distance learning.

3. Result and discussion

Lack of Open Access materials: As an open distance learning institutions with the aim of removing barriers and create flexibility for learning these Sub-Saharan open distance learning institutions must embrace the concept of openness. However, this must be achieved with the use of open access materials, which are sometimes limited to the use of students. Through this there are initiatives and resources that are available, free of charge and easy to use, such as unrestricted scholarly research and educational materials. The following resources can assist in overcoming the limitation of open access materials for Open Distance Learning, such as Open Educational Resources (OER), Massive Open Online Courses (MOOC), e-portfolio, Open Educational Practice (OEP) among others.

Accessibility to OER materials among others can give room for ODL based institutions to seek redress and students have access to OER materials most especially in the Sub-Saharan Africa to eradicate the effect of ODL institutions in Sub-Saharan Africa not been open to students. However, institutions operating an ODL mode must endeavour to input the usage of OER as their framework and procedures for both academics and students. A positive correlation was found between a study, carried out by [24], among open distance learning students in South Africa and study from [32], targeting open distance learning in Nigeria. Both studies identified the average awareness of ODL students of OER, low level of usage and different challenges that hindered the usage of OER among these ODL students predominantly in Sub-Saharan Africa. [31] identified that openness boundaries expanded and spanning across myriad dimensions, levels, layers and encompassing a vast array of elements, such as OER [51–53]. [54], a professor from Oklahoma State University, United State of America, sharing in “From individual testimonials to collective voices” as a co-author, averred that authoring textbooks and materials that can be freely available online in terms of OER can remove barriers combating openness in education [31].

MOOC is what [55] described as a type of Open Distance. This is another open course that was designed, which was first developed in the year 2006 and offer distributed open online courses that are available to a very large number of participants without a cost. Supporting this, [56] identified that MOOC provides educational opportunities that can address the increasing demand for such institution like open distance education. Further analysis showed that all course materials are delivered digitally, and students work remotely in open schedule online classes.

The use of e-portfolio is another open access tool that can be adopted to propagate the openness in open distance learning student in Sub-Saharan Africa. As said by [57], in developing nations, e-portfolios are still a relatively new trend, and teachers are not yet familiar with their extensive use. Supporting the findings, in their study titled “Using e-portfolio for active students’ engagement in the ODeL environment”, [58] concluded that e-portfolios can encourage engagement and active student participation in Open Distance eLearning (ODeL) environments. E-portfolios can bring a face to the story and help lecturers to know more about our students, especially through active engagements. Involving students with e-portfolio gives them the perspective of owing their study and this makes it open for learning. The crucial aspect of e-portfolios is that they are primarily student-centered and have been shown to support self-directed and lifelong learning [59]. Reviewing Moore’s theory of transactional distance calling for specialized techniques to mitigate the challenge of distance. E-portfolio can be useful as a teaching-learning tool that assists the instructor’s and students’ who are physically separated.

It is worthy of note too, that Taskeen Adam, a research associate from the University of Johannesburg shared in the book “From individual testimonials to collective voices” as a co-author that beyond OER, there has been much more intentional focus on OEP, also known as open pedagogy or open praxis. The scholar emphasised that OEP can strive to foster spaces where power imbalances and inequalities can be addressed beyond bringing more creative and interactive pedagogies into teaching and learning [54, 31].

Availability of ODL facilitators and experts in an ODL environment. The model required knowledgeable and hands-on facilitators under the model of an Open Distance eLearning with the most recently advent of technology and artificial intelligent usage. Knowledge of staff on the core areas of ODL institution, such as Flexibility, openness, etc, is needed. According to Blomgren, which assert that since education has always been an endeavour that has the future in mind, where an educator stands is important [31].

Limitation of the study and prospects for further research. The limitation to this study could be down to the scope, limiting the scope to open distance learning in the Sub-Sahara region of Africa. The region might not have a holistic view of the world and may not have provided a generic perspective to other open distance learning institution around the world. This reason for this is based on the sample size, adopted for the study, which is purposive sample using a case study research design. The sample size focused mainly on the practices of open distance learning institutions in Sub-Sahara Africa, this might lead to imbalance of information.

The conclusion and recommendations, made in this study, are meant for the continent of Africa, however, it can be of use for other open distance institutions across the globe. Hence, in the future researchers may see the need to cover other regions or consider the concept of openness among other open distance learning institutions across board.

4. Conclusion

To ensure that students are not prejudiced, the concept of openness to strengthen the andragogical delivery in the ODL environment should be embraced, this will create multiple opportunities that should be provided in order to enable ODL adult students to continually determine their learning and knowledge.

The ODL practitioners and experts should ensure that their conduct complies with the mission and vision of an ODL institution that is “open” such that the development of curriculum, class fixing and timetabling should be an interactive process between the lecturers and students learning in the ODL context in order to have a positive impact on teaching and learning hence to achieve the learning objectives.

ODL higher institutions in Sub-Sahara Africa should take responsibility through prompt acknowledgement of revisiting the policies of their institutions and provide framework that makes it really open.

Openness in Education is important owing to the magnitude of what the world has experienced in the past few years, such as the war, natural disasters and the global pandemic. Increase in uncertain expenditure of household and establishment as a result has meant that access to expensive materials and courses is out of reach for many, so the ability for people to access free textbooks with high quality research and learning opportunities, such as MOOCs, despite the trying circumstances they might find themselves in, is incredibly important.

As identified by different authors, making openness conform to one definition would mean that barriers are all the same. However, in the context of this study, openness can be generalised as to the same for OdeL institutions in Sub-Sahara Africa as they all have similar characteristics in terms of opportunities, challenges and availability of resources and manpower. Going by the mantras of all the ODL institutions in Sub-Sahara Africa using words, such as meeting the need of adults, people that have missed out on former schooling, to provide education for the region etc.

Conflict of interest

The author declares that there is no conflict of interest in relation to this paper, as well as the published research results, including the financial aspects of conducting the research, obtaining and using its results, as well as any non-financial personal relationships.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Data availability

Manuscript has no associated data.

Use of artificial intelligence

The authors confirm that they did not use artificial intelligence technologies when creating the current work.

References

- [1] Lockwood, F.; Lockwood, F. (Ed.) (2013). Students' Perception of, and Response to, Formative and Summative Assessment Material. Open and distance learning today. Routledge publishing. 213–223.
- [2] Zawacki-Richter, O., Conrad, D., Bozkurt, A., Aydin, C. H., Bedenlier, S., Jung, I. et al. (2020). Elements of Open Education: An Invitation to Future Research. The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning, 21 (3), 319–334. <https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v21i3.4659>
- [3] Al-Arimi, A. M. A.-K. (2014). Distance Learning. Procedia – Social and Behavioral Sciences, 152, 82–88. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.09.159>
- [4] Kentnor, H. E. (2015). Distance education and the evolution of online learning in the United States. Curriculum and teaching dialogue, 17 (1), 21–34.
- [5] Open Learning Policy Framework for Post-School Education and Training (2017). Government gazette, 40772, 358–412. Available at: <https://static.pmg.org.za/170407openlearningframework-postschooleduc.pdf>
- [6] Makoe, M. (2022). Contextual Content Analysis of Mission Statements of Open and Distance Education Institutions in Sub-Saharan Africa. Open Praxis, 14 (1), 4–13. <https://doi.org/10.55982/openpraxis.14.1.462>
- [7] Cronin, C. (2017). Openness and Praxis: Exploring the Use of Open Educational Practices in Higher Education. The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning, 18 (5), 15–34. <https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v18i5.3096>
- [8] Hodgkinson-Williams, C., Arinto, P., Cartmill, T., King, T. (2017). Adoption and impact of OER in the Global South. Cape Town & Ottawa: African Minds, International Development Research Centre & Research on Open Educational Resources. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1005330>
- [9] Koçdar, S., Bozkurt, A., Uçar, H., Karadeniz, A., Erdoğan, E., Naidu, S. (2023). Openness in education as a living idea: A longitudinal investigation of its growth and development. Distance Education, 44 (4), 745–764. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01587919.2023.2268321>
- [10] Stracke, C. M., Bozkurt, A., McGreal, R., Zawacki-Richter, O. (2023). Open Educational Resources and their global needs, benefits and practices: The call for a future research agenda. Bulletin of the Technical Committee on Learning Technology, 23 (1), 20–23. Available at: <https://tc.computer.org/tclt/10-1109-2022-02003007/>
- [11] Bozkurt, A., Koseoglu, S., Singh, L. (2019). An analysis of peer reviewed publications on openness in education in half a century: Trends and patterns in the open hemisphere. Australasian Journal of Educational Technology, 35 (4), 78–97. <https://doi.org/10.14742/ajet.4252>
- [12] Anderson, T. (2013). Open access scholarly publications as OER. The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning, 14 (2), 81–95. <https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v14i2.1531>
- [13] Marshall, S. J.; Marshall, S. (Ed.) (2018). Open Education: A Parable of Change in Higher Education. Shaping the University of the Future: Using technology to catalyse change in university learning and teaching. Sydney: Springer, 213–251. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-7620-6_11
- [14] Baker, F. W. (2016). An Alternative Approach: Openness in Education Over the Last 100 Years. TechTrends, 61 (2), 130–140. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11528-016-0095-7>
- [15] Bali, M. (2017). Sometimes Open Matters #OpenEdMOOC. Reflecting Allowed. Available at: <https://blog.mahabali.me/%20whyopen/sometimes-open-matters-openedmooc/> Last accessed: 27.08.2023
- [16] Bali, M., Caines, A., Hogue, R. J., DeWaard, H. J., Friedrich, C. (2019). Intentionally Equitable Hospitality in Hybrid Video Dialogue: The context of Virtually Connecting. ELearn, 2019 (5). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3329488.3331173>
- [17] Letseka, M. (2020). Stimulating ODL research at UNISA: exploring the role and potential impact of the UNESCO Chair. Open Learning: The Journal of Open, Distance and e-Learning, 36 (2), 133–148. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02680513.2020.1724780>
- [18] Harris, R., Miske, S., Attig, G. (2004). Embracing Diversity: Toolkit for Creating Inclusive Learning-Friendly Environments. UNESCO Bangkok. Available at: <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000137522>
- [19] Donnelly, V. (Ed.) (2010). Teacher education for inclusion: International literature review. European Agency for Development in Special Needs Education, 70.

- [20] Luna Scott, C. (2015). The Futures of Learning 3: What kind of pedagogies for the 21st century? Education Research and Foresight. UNESCO working paper, 21.
- [21] Akintolu, M., Letseka, M., Dlamini, N. P. (2022). An Exploration of Student- Centred Approaches and Experiential Learning Strategies for a Youth- Based Initiative. Value Orientations of Modern Youth in Challenging Times. Youth Voice Journal, 2, 27–41.
- [22] The future of education and skills: Education 2030 (2018). OECD Publishing.
- [23] Wiley, D., Green, C. (2016). Why openness in education? Interdisciplinary Studies: A Connected Learning Approach. Available at: <https://press.rebus.community/idsconnect/chapter/why-openness-in-education/>
- [24] Akintolu, M., Letseka, M.; Jako, O., Fawzi, B. (Eds.) (2023). Investigating The awareness, use and challenges of Open Educational Resources: a survey of an ODeL Institution in South Africa. Open educational resources and open pedagogy in Lebanon and South Africa. Axiom Academic Publishers, 81–94. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8139675>
- [25] Casey, D. M. (2008). A journey to legitimacy: The historical development of distance education through technology. TechTrends, 52 (2), 45–51. Available at: <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ798658>
- [26] Parker, A. (2020). Interaction in distance education: The critical conversation. AACE Review (Formerly AACE Journal), Waynesville: Association for the Advancement of Computing in Education (AACE). Available at: <https://www.learntechlib.org/primary/p/8117/> Available at: 27.07.2023
- [27] Open distance-learning policy (2015). University of South Africa (Unisa).
- [28] Aluko, R. (2017). Applying UNESCO Guidelines on Mobile Learning in the South African Context: Creating an Enabling Environment through Policy. The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning, 18 (7), 24–44. <https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v18i7.2702>
- [29] Khvilon, E., Patru, M. (2018). Open and distance learning: Trends, policy and strategy considerations. UNESCO. Available at: <http://repositorio.cultura.gob.cl/handle/123456789/1194>
- [30] Gourley, B., Lane, A. (2009). Re-invigorating openness at The Open University: the role of Open Educational Resources. Open Learning: The Journal of Open, Distance and e-Learning, 24 (1), 57–65. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02680510802627845>
- [31] Bozkurt, A., Gjelsvik, T., Adam, T., Asino, T. I., Atenas, J., Bali, M. et al. (2023). Openness in Education as a Praxis: From Individual Testimonials to Collective Voices. Open Praxis, 15 (2), 76–112. <https://doi.org/10.55982/openpraxis.15.2.574>
- [32] Itasanmi, S. A. (2020). OER awareness and usage among open and distance learning students in South-Western Nigeria. IJIEIT (International Journal of Indonesian Education and Teaching), 4 (2), 343–357. <https://doi.org/10.24071/ijiet.v4i2.2643>
- [33] Open distance-learning policy (2016). University of South Africa (Unisa).
- [34] The leading ODL university (2019). University of South Africa (Unisa).
- [35] Khvilon, E., Patru, M. (2002). Open and distance learning: Trends, policy and strategy considerations. Paris: UNESCO, Division of Higher Education. Available at: <http://repositorio.cultura.gob.cl/handle/123456789/1194>
- [36] Letseka, M. (Ed.) (2016). Open Distance Learning (ODL) through the philosophy of Ubuntu. New York: Nova Science Publishers, Incorporated.
- [37] OUT Policy on Open Educational Resources (OER). Dar-es-Salaam: OUT (2016). Open University of Tanzania (OUT).
- [38] Ambe-Uva, T. N. (2007). National Open University of Nigeria (NOUN): A Historical Perspective and Challenges. Turkish Online Journal of Distance Education, 8 (1), 73–84. Available at: <https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/tojde/issue/16919/176557>
- [39] Muchena, E. (2013). Teaching Journalism at a Distance: The Case of the Zimbabwe Open University (ZOU) (Harare Regional Centre). IOSR Journal Of Humanities And Social Science, 17 (3), 60–67. <https://doi.org/10.9790/0837-1736067>
- [40] Open University of Mauritius (2018). Home. Available at: <http://www.open.ac.mu>
- [41] Patten, V., Chadee, M. (2018). Quality assurance at the Open University of Mauritius. Available at: <http://www.open.ac.mu/wp-content/uploads/2018/12/Edited-version-Questions-on-Quality-Assurance-for-OUM-DG-19.07.18-1.pdf>
- [42] Tladi, L. L., Nleya, P. (2021). Integrating e-Learning in Open and Distance Learning: The Case of Botswana Open University. Progressio, 41 (1). <https://doi.org/10.25159/2663-5895/4935>
- [43] Makhanya, M. (2015). Open Distance Learning: Accelerator for Africa's Development. (Speech delivered by the principal and vice chancellor preceded by the ICDE DVD that also introduces and gives an overview of Unisa).
- [44] Olugbara, C. T., Letseka, M., Akintolu, M. (2023). Student Support as a Panacea for Enhancing Student Success in an Open Distance Learning Environment. Journal of Educators Online, 20(3). <https://doi.org/10.9743/jeo.2023.20.3.9>
- [45] Prinsloo, P. (2015). Participation in open distance learning. Open Distance Learning (ODL) in South Africa. New York: Nova Publishers, 21–37.
- [46] Moore, M. G.; Keegan, D. (Ed.) (1993). Theory of transactional distance. Theoretical principles of distance education. New York: Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203803738.ch5>
- [47] Keegan, D. (2005). Theoretical principles of distance education. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203983065>

- [48] Tait, A. W. (2017). European Leaders in Distance and e-Learning. *Journal of Learning for Development*, 4 (1), 5–11. <https://doi.org/10.56059/jl4d.v4i1.197>
- [49] Obadara, B. (2007). *Essentials of Research Methodology*. First Publ. Lagos: New Age Publisher Limited.
- [50] Akintolu, M., Uleanya, C. (2021). Ensuring Sustainable Development Goal in Rural Africa through Adult Literacy Programme: A Case Study of Technology Usage in Developing Nations. *Universal Journal of Educational Research*, 9 (4), 713–719. <https://doi.org/10.13189/ujer.2021.090401>
- [51] Bliss, T., Tuiloma, S. H. (2022). Open Educational Resources. *EdTechnica: The Open Encyclopedia of Educational Technology*. Available at: <https://edtechbooks.org/encyclopedia/oer>
- [52] Mishra, S. (2017). Open educational resources: removing barriers from within. *Distance Education*, 38 (3), 369–380. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01587919.2017.1369350>
- [53] Stracke, C. M., Downes, S., Conole, G., Burgos, D., Nascimbeni, F. (2019). Are MOOCs Open Educational Resources? A literature review on history, definitions and typologies of OER and MOOCs. *Open Praxis*, 11 (4), 331–341. <https://doi.org/10.5944/openpraxis.11.4.1010>
- [54] Asino, T. I.; Bali, M., Cronin, C., Czerniewicz, L., DeRosa, R., Jhangiani, R. (Eds.) (2020). A Reflection on Open: An Open Reflection. *Open at the Margins*. Available at: <https://press.rebus.community/openatthemargins/chapter/a-reflection-on-open-an-open-reflection/>
- [55] Cormier, D., Stewart, B., Siemens, G., McAuley, A. (2010). What is a MOOC? Available at: <https://islandscholar.ca/islandora/object/ir:20497>
- [56] Zawacki-Richter, O., Naidu, S. (2016). Mapping research trends from 35 years of publications in Distance Education. *Distance Education*, 37 (3), 245–269. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01587919.2016.1185079>
- [57] Kelebogile Mudau, P., MP Modise, M. (2022). Using E-Portfolios for Active Student Engagement in the ODeL Environment. *Journal of Information Technology Education: Research*, 21, 425–438. <https://doi.org/10.28945/5012>
- [58] Modise, M.-E. P., Mudau, P. K. (2022). Using E-Portfolios for Meaningful Teaching and Learning in Distance Education in Developing Countries: A Systematic Review. *The Journal of Continuing Higher Education*, 71 (3), 286–298. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07377363.2022.2067731>
- [59] Van Wyk, M. M. (2018). e-Portfolio as an Empowering Tool in the Teaching Methodology of Economics at an Open Distance e-Learning University. *Ubiquitous Learning: An International Journal*, 11 (3), 35–50. <https://doi.org/10.18848/1835-9795/cgp/v11i03/35-50>

Received date 16.04.2024

Accepted date 28.05.2024

Published date 31.05.2024

© The Author(s) 2023

This is an open access article under the
Creative Commons CC BY license

How to cite: Akintolu, M., Letsek M. (2024). Rethinking openness for learning in open distance learning institutions. *EUREKA: Social and Humanities*, 2, 64–74. <http://doi.org/10.21303/2504-5571.2024.003375>